

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/031,128 06/05/2002		06/05/2002	Cyrill G. Gallant	0030-0205P	2639
2292	7590	05/23/2005		EXAMINER	
		KOLASCH & BIR	CORBIN, ARTHUR L		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1761	
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		. ()					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summer:	10/031,128	GALLANT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Arthur L. Corbin	1761					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) drill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frocause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. on the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05-01</u>	1-02.06-05-02.						
<u> </u>							
3) Since this application is in condition for allower		rosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-14</u> is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 5-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• •					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	have been received. have been received in Applica ity documents have been recei	ition No					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		ved.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 050102,060502.	Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)					

1. Claims 9-14 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 09/01/04.

Applicant's contention, that original claims 1-11 were examined in the previous Office action rather than claims 1-14 amended under Article 34, is without merit.

Applicant agreed that claims 1-11 were to be examined in the September 1, 2004 response to the written restriction requirement. The Article 34 amendment refers to a PCT application rather than the U.S. application.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Rutledge (4,053,964; col. 1, lines 12-13; col. 2, lines 3-5, 14-16, 24-26 and 44-45)

 Applicant is referred to paragraph no. 7, Paper No. 102204.

Application/Control Number: 10/031,128

Art Unit: 1761

5. Claims 6, 7, 8/1, 8/5 and 8/6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rutledge in view of Fehmerling (3,513,071; col. 1, lines 40-42; Ex. 1 and claim 3).

Applicant is referred to the reasoning set forth in paragraph no. 8, Paper No. 102204.

6. Claims 8/1 and 8/5 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rutledge in view of Trelease et al (3,773,962, claim 8).

Applicant is referred to the reasoning set forth in paragraph no. 9, Paper No. 102204.

7. Applicant's arguments filed March 28, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Although applicant's claims recite a variety of steps that will achieve applicant's desired results, only one of the recited steps is required according to claim 1. Thus, Rutledge clearly anticipates applicant's broad claim.

Fehmerling suggests thawing in tap water at 58°F or the use of a protease enzyme, e.g. ficin (col. 5). There is no patentable distinction between applicant's thawing temperature of 12°C (53. 6°F) and the 58°F tap water used in Fehmerling.

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/031,128

Art Unit: 1761

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur L. Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 10:30 am to 8:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. Corbin/af May 17, 2005

ARTHUR L. CORBIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4

5-18-05